

~~TOP SECRET~~

SECURITY INFORMATION

NATIONAL PY STRATEGY

<u>Questions Raised</u>	<u>Positions</u>
1. Who should represent CIA-OPC on main body?	DDP proposes CPY or [REDACTED] 25X1A
2. Inclusion of PSB in all phases of study?	PP/PY urge [REDACTED] since question goes to heart of PY responsibilities. 25X1A
3. Inclusion of MSA on main committee and subsidiary committees?	DDP so urges. CPO, CNE and DCFE agree. PP/PY urge. (a) PSB Director chairman main body, or at least be full member. (b) PSB Staff be members and serve as chairmen of any subsidiary panels or committees.
4. General position on procedure (for OPC support of undertaking)	CPO, CNE, DCFE, and PP/PY so urge
(A) PP/PY	
	25X1A
	I. A task Force of : [REDACTED] representing PY, plus a high placed representative of EE, FE, NE, WE, I.O. (for RFE, etc.)
	II. This Task Force to:-Assess OPC's existing and potential capabilities. -Develop OPC's recommendations as to National Strategy (overt and covert). -Develop OPC proposals as to targets, themes, and phasing.
	III. (It is proposed that Area members of proposed Task Force do the basic studies on their areas; that PY representative do the basic drafting of overall OPC position for submission to full group for across-the-board decision on OPC position and recommendations.
(B) CPO's Position	

25X1A

[REDACTED] believes that the approach should be essentially geographical and that:

I. PY (after consultation with PSB Staff) should develop the broad outline or format of a study to be made by each

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2001/08/16 : CIA-RDP80-01065A000100170058-6

geographical areas concerned.

II. That each area would then prepare a position paper for its area showing "Battle order" (on both sides) their estimate of probable developments, suggested assumptions for various strategies they might propose and the alternatives of their proposed strategies (based on their assumptions including assumptions within reason of what state and defense might go along with as well as reasonable assumptions of cooperation by British, French, etc.

III. That each area also "make a stab" at proposing a National Strategy (including assumptions).

IV. Mr. [redacted] proposes that any members of the Task Force be "hand picked" (to obtain best talents); be small and cautions against undertaking too many or too extensive studies.

V. PP/PY Comment on CPO position: While seeing much merit in [redacted] approach, PP/PY fears it might result in "Fragmentation," i.e., it might be difficult to add three or four regional strategies together and get one National Strategy.

(C) Position of CNE and DCFE

25X1A

Messers [redacted] lean toward regional approach even perhaps as far as regional committees of representative of State, Defense, MSA, and OPC. They feel, however, that the inclusion of Defense in such committees presents problems, perhaps insuperable. Toward the end of the conference they leaned toward CPO's proposal.

*PLB*  
8-Jan '82  
Draft (only copy)

Approved For Release 2001/08/16 : CIA-RDP80-01065A000100170058-6

~~TOP SECRET~~